

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addres (COMMISSIONER IS R. PATENTS PC BOX 148 ARRANGA, Vianna 22313-1459 www.napte.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/916,355 | 07/27/2001 | Matthew Howle | A148 1571 | 7567 |
| 75 | 90 07/01/2003 | | | |
| Steven L. Schmid Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice. PLLC P.O. Box 7037 | | | EXAMINER FORTUNA, JOSE A | |
| | | | | |
| | | | 1731 | |

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| r | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | _ | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/916,355 | HOWLE ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | José A Fortuna | 1731 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any earn | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl p period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b) | 36(a). In no event, howev y within the statutory minin will apply and will expire SI e, cause the application to I | er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Decome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status 1)⊠ | Passansive to communication(s) filed as 02 | luna 2002 | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-25</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirem | ent. | | | | | |
| • • | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11)[_] | The proposed drawing correction filed on | _ , ,, | , | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a)[| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | • | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ | 5) 🔲 1 | nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/916,355

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Column.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 09/916,355

Art Unit: 1731

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 8-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Finlayson et al, WO 98/03731 in view of Allen et al., WO 99/05361, both supplied in Information Disclosure Statement of paper no. 4.

Finlayson et al. teach internally sizing paper with a polymer of an ethylenically unsaturated hydrocarbon and an ethylenically unsaturated carboxylic acid, see abstract. Finlayson et al. teach same monomers as the claimed, alkyl halide having at least double bond and an alkene, latex, see pages 7-8. In those pages they teach vinyl halides, page 7, line 34 and ethylene, page 7, line 21. Finlayson et al. teach also the use of retention aids such as polyamidoamines epichlorohydrin resins, Kymene 557. In page 14, lines 7-16, Finlayson et al. teach that wet and/or dry strength additives could be used. Finlayson et al. fail to teach the anionic polymer as claimed. However, Allen et al. teach a dry strength additive including a cationic component, such as Kymene and an anionic component such as CMC, polyacrylamides, etc. Allen et al. teach that the dry strength system may be also used in paper containing: a retention aid, page 2, lines 4-10; highly cationic material for charge control or fine particle retention, lines 11-15; can be used with other additives, such as sizes, defoamer, wetting agents, etc., lines 16-17; has utility in many type of papers, lines 18-22; may comprise a wet strength agent, such as Kymene 557H, page 20, lines 14-30. Therefore, using the dry strength agent taught by Allen et al. as the dry strength agent as suggested by Finlayson et al. would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 1731

One of ordinary skill in the art would have reasonable expectation of success if the dry strength agent taught by Allen et al. is used as the dry strength agent suggested by Finlayson et al.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on June 03, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. There is nothing that proof the unexpected results in the addition of the cationic polymers first and then the anionic polymers. It is also known that cationic resins are added first to the fibers to neutralize the fibers charge and then and anionic resin is added to neutralize the excess of cationic resin. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would find obvious the cationic resin as the first component.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure in the art of "Paper enhancements."
- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Art Unit: 1731

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José Fortuna, whose telephone number is (703)305-7498. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin, can be reached on (703)308-1164. The fax number for this group is (703)305-7115.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

When filing a FAX in group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communication with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

José A. Fortuna June 29, 2003

JÓSÉ FÖRTUNA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1731